

**REMARKS**

Claims 49-85 are pending in the application.

Claims 49-56, 60, 61, 64-73, and 79-85 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11, 15-23, 41-44, and 46-48 of U. S. Patent No. 6,458,416 in view of Kang.


Claims 57-59 and 74-78 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 and 18-22 of U. S. Patent No. 6,627,260 in view of Kang.

Claims 62 and 63 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-8 of U. S. Patent No. 6,627,260 in view of Sekine.

Applicant herewith submits a timely filed Terminal Disclaimer obviating the need for the double patenting rejections listed above and requests allowance of all pending claims in the next Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 25 Mar 2004

By:   
James E. Lake  
Reg. No. 44,854